

04 September 2018

Hello HOSC & Euan

This is a typical letter of diversion from WLCCG

Frank Bedford & I could play ping pong on multiple WLCCG answers that were the same as previous but offered to different different questions, as previous answers were insufficient.

But

My main issue has always been the lack of visibility of how WLCCG & LCC & NWLDC work together.

I repeatedly asked to see the Joint Procedures that controlled this. Latterly Bev Smith Chief Exec. NWLDC has told me there is none & no minutes of how decisions that affect the people of Ashby are taken.

Frank nor I can find any letter referred to as being sent to him dated 22 January 2017 or any letter or email he himself had sent to WLCCG requesting information in relation to S106 monies in the period leading up to that date referred to in point 3 of WLCCGs response, despite all correspondence being saved in both paper and electronic form. Perhaps it can be resent.

As I understand WLCCGs response point 4 - S106 monies from a Developer reflect the size of the new development and its take of existing resources, to boost the same. The fact that money is not taken confuses me. Further, in respect of WLCCG's point 4, I attach a copy of the S106 agreement. It will be noted, unless I am reading this incorrectly, that the £133,000 contribution is not payable in lieu of the land not being required, but payable to provide health facilities elsewhere, should the health centre not be provided within the development site. i.e. **it is still required to be paid.**

__Anyway

The bulk of the remarks from the previous Ashby Civic Society question remain unchallenged by WLCCG and require addressing at the HOSC cttee meeting.

This is still of major concern to Ashby Civic Society.

Thankyou

Ian Webb & Frank Bedford

This page is intentionally left blank