

## Consultation Results

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-1	Objection	Possible Noise increase	<p>The reason I wish to challenge said planned works is because of the possible noise increase with banging of the buses and acceleration between each device and the hassle these type of speed restriction devices can cause to your vehicle. I was wondering if alternatives had been considered like speed average cameras as I found these to be very successful in other areas which I work in like Coventry and Nottingham suburbs or chicanes coming out into the road. I understand cushions are better than speed bumps, but I feel there is a better way.</p>	05/02/2023
WWTC-2	Objection	Positioning of Speed Cushion	<p>Specifically, the set of cushions between Grange Lane and Meadow road.</p> <p>I live at number [REMOVED] Walton Way. We are a a row of three houses with a driveway that enters straight onto Walton Way. It can be very difficult and dangerous to get onto our driveway and even harder to exit onto Walton Way.</p> <p>The issue is that the driveway is just after a bend in the road and I feel that the cushions would be better positioned before the driveway and not after - therefore, just after the junction for Grange Lane. (This position will slow vehicles down just before the bend and before they approach our driveway.</p>	05/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-3	Objection	Damage to Car, vehicles will use other routes	<p>I am concerned by the Damage that will be caused to my car in the midst of a cost of living crisis. Road humps are proven to cause issues to cars when used repeatedly. As someone who lives very close to this road I will have to travel on it at least 4 times a day and am concerned on the impact on my car and costs.</p> <p>It is likely that traffic will just choose to go through Rothley instead of Walton Way, particularly given the extensive works planned for the A46 near Fillingate service station for the new estate. Has anyone considered the impact on Rothley and traffic?</p> <p><i>[REMOVED]</i> had already sought to get a speed sign that activates when vehicles pass. I've seen these work effective in Swithland so why can't we just have that?</p> <p>Also, the area that has been marked to not have any humps is one of the key areas where people cross, surely be better to have the speed sign ahead of this from both directions so it slows people down at a key walking and dog walking crossing point close to a post box and public path.</p>	05/02/2023
WWTC-4	Objection	Damage to Car, vehicles will use other routes	<p>I agree that speed bumps on Walton Way will stop it being used as a race track. We live on Barnard way so know it does need speed bumps or cameras to get rid of the racers. But having so many speed bumps along the way means more damage to our cars. Meaning I'd have to go over an extra 14 speed bumps each day to get to work and back. That's included the 14 I need to go over to get into Loughborough to work daily.</p> <p>This means I'll need to go over 28 speed bumps per day. 140 speed bumps per week. Who's going to pay for my new spring replacement?</p> <p>If there are going to be this many speed bumps people will just use Halsted Road instead and speed along there near the park and on the route to school.</p> <p>What about putting a permanent speed camera, would this be better? It must cost less and you'll get a constant income from it from the racers and less bills coming from peoples spring replacements.</p>	05/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-5	Objection	No speeding or accident issues according to resident. Speed humps not effective on larger vehicles increase emissions and damage cars additional council tax	They are, in my opinion, not necessary or effective. I have lived in this area for over 8 years and use this route daily. I have never heard of, or seen any accidents near misses or excessively high speeds of vehicles along Walton Way. Also these proposed cushions are only effective at slowing smaller cars. Lorries, large vans, minibuses, SUV's, 4x4's, larger cars and motorcycles are not slowed. The smaller cars which have to ride the bumps have considerable strain and excessive wear on suspension parts and steering gear, both important components for the safety of the vehicle which can be damaged by these cushions. The likely increase in emissions from cars affected by the speed reduction and acceleration along these speed control routes impact on health in built up areas has resulted in the decision to remove them in some areas. Given the impact on health, why is this retrograde option being considered in a road where families with young children live when other traffic calming measures can be considered like chicanes which slow the cars but don't cause persistent damage to smaller cars or the environment or air quality? At a time of financial restraints, I do not see this a justified use of public funds, especially when there are potholes and poor road surfaces throughout the local road network that pose a real daily danger to all road users.	05/02/2023
WWTC-6	Objection	No issues with Speeding. Cushions Unsightly	I believe speed cushions are unnecessary, unsightly and damaging to cars. People tend to speed up in between them and they cause difficulties when people are parked/stopped on the side of the road.  Vehicle activated signs, like they have in Quorn, would be a much better idea.	04/02/2023
WWTC-7	Objection	Damage to smaller vehicles due to speed cushions and a raised table. Will ruin aesthetic look of the road/estate. Will push traffic into using Swithland	Damage to smaller vehicles due to speed cushions and a raised table. Will ruin aesthetic look of the road/estate. Will push traffic into using Swithland Lane and West Cross Lane more.  I appreciate a few speeding drivers have ruined it for the many who follow the speed limit in the area, I would propose the installation of a radar speed sign at the top/middle/bottom ends of Walton Way, these have been shown to be effective in other areas, for example Wood Lane going into Quorn, Main Street going into Swithland and Swithland Lane going into Rothley.	06/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
		Lane and West Cross Lane more.		
WWTC-8	Objection	Damage to smaller vehicles due to speed cushions and a raised table. Will ruin aesthetic look of the road/estate. Will push traffic into using Swithland Lane and West Cross Lane more	Damage to smaller vehicles due to speed cushions and a raised table. Will ruin aesthetic look of the road/estate. Will push traffic into using Swithland Lane and West Cross Lane more.  I appreciate a few speeding drivers have ruined it for the many who follow the speed limit in the area, I would propose the installation of a radar speed sign at the top/middle/bottom ends of Walton Way, these have been shown to be effective in other areas, for example Wood Lane going into Quorn, Main Street going into Swithland and Swithland Lane going into Rothley.	06/02/2023
WWTC-9	Objection	Damage to smaller vehicles due to speed cushions and a raised table. Will ruin aesthetic look of the road/estate. Will push traffic into using Swithland Lane and West Cross Lane more	Damage to smaller vehicles due to speed cushions and a raised table. Will ruin aesthetic look of the road/estate. Will push traffic into using Swithland Lane and West Cross Lane more.  I appreciate a few speeding drivers have ruined it for the many who follow the speed limit in the area, I would propose the installation of a radar speed sign at the top/middle/bottom ends of Walton Way, these have been shown to be effective in other areas, for example Wood Lane going into Quorn, Main Street going into Swithland and Swithland Lane going into Rothley.	07/02/2023
WWTC-10	Objection	Supports scheme but not cushions - fully supports raised table. Overall worried about damage to car.	In principal I support traffic charming measures for Walton Way, Mountsorrel. However I object to the use of cushions as calming measures as they are detrimental To most cars. They shave the inside of the tyres, are detrimental to the tracking, cause problems with suspension and cause problems with drive units ie: oil leaks which can be an MOT failure. If you have a large car these issues are not normally a problem because they can be driven over the cushions without contact or slowing down. If the proposals were for all	07/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			raised tables I would definitely support the measures fully.I think it is important to support any measures to reduce speed and improve safety but not at the detriment of the majority of drivers cars.The 'cushions' will not slow large vehicles or racers, therefore having little effect on the drivers that need slowing.Table calming have been used on Rothley Road, near the school and the Co-op also near the leisure centre.As a driver in Mountsorrel since 1975 I have experienced many changes, I support any measures to improve road safety but NOT if these measures will be ineffective to some drivers and detrimental to some drivers cars.	
WWTC-11	Objection	Supports scheme, but not happy with the positioning of Cushions. One in particular outside his property. Worried about noise and pollution	Due to the short distance between our house and the road, I have serious concerns of increased impact noise and vibration when vehicles go over the cushions and also the acceleration and deceleration of the vehicles.	07/02/2023
WWTC-12	Objection	Does not feel they are warranted - established that	Scanned document	
WWTC-13	Objection	1. Not a busy Road, No Accidents have occurred, speed on this road is not bad as people make out -	Scanned document	05/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-14	Objection	Agrees with traffic calming but not with putting in speed cushions. Increase traffic in other parts of the village. Has suggested alternatives to speed cushion or asked for speed cushions to be installed on other streets such as Halstead Road, Bier Way etc	Scanned document	05/02/2023
WWTC-15	Objection	Speed Bumps are damaging to cars and will mean people will use the village to speed through. Would recommend 30mph signs instead of speed cushions	Scanned document	05/02/2023
WWTC-16	Objection	Damage to cars, worried about cars just speeding over the cushions. It will divert traffic onto other areas.	Scanned document	05/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-17	Objection	vehicles will be speeding up and slowing down along Walton way, increase in noise and pollution. Damage to cars, bigger vehicles wont be impacted.	Scanned document	05/02/2023
WWTC-18	Objection	Due to having speed cushions outside property feels as though the proposal will cause more air pollution, more noise pollution, potentially slow down emergency vehicles, deter walking, move traffic onto other areas	<p>About 18 years ago speedbumps were put in on Danvers Rd in where I live, indeed one is [REMOVED]. The noise took a lot of getting used to, in fact we had to improve our double glazing to reduce the sound, but for a while the speedbumps not only slowed down the traffic, they even deterred it (people don't like to travel over them when they can find alternative routes). However what really improved the situation was Bier Way opening up to traffic, I'm not joking when I say that for two weeks I kept thinking it was a Sunday because it was so quite down our road, the air quality also improved and I found myself breathing easier and [REMOVED]. Unfortunately now, with the increase in traffic volume in the village it is more or less back to how it was, however a large proportion of the traffic still follows the more arterial routes of Bier Way/Walton Way.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• more air pollution (as cars speed up and slow down for the bumps fuel economy decreases and particulate levels are increased)</li> <li>• more noise pollution (not just from the bumps but also the acceleration and braking)</li> <li>• potentially slow down emergency vehicles</li> <li>• deter walking (the proposals include REMOVING crossing places) and other forms of 'active transport'.</li> <li>• and change traffic patterns across Mountsorrel and Rothley which will increase traffic on Danvers Road and Linkfield Road in Mountsorrel, Swithland Lane in Rothley and Wood Lane in Quorn.</li> </ul>	09/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-19	Objection	Proposals are not required; will damage car; increase pollution; displace traffic to other routes; pose a danger to cycles and would prefer vehicles activated lights.	<p>We are writing to object to the plans for road calming on Walton Way for the following reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Whilst speeds can be excessive on Walton Way we are not aware on any accidents on the road in the past 10 years. This is probably because the road is open, the views are good and there is no road furniture confusing drivers.</li> <li>2. These calming bumps cause damage to cars, especially smaller ones.</li> <li>3. The stop start nature of the calming will significantly increase pollution and vehicle noise.</li> <li>4. Introducing these measures may move traffic to other routes which are less able to cope with it and are more dangerous.</li> <li>5. These solutions are dangerous to bikes as cars are forced left.</li> <li>6. Why not start with 30mph flashing signs like in Swithland plus the junction table at the Cross Lane junction? Your current proposal is excessive and will most likely cause accidents as drivers try to avoid them. Also they are situated after road junctions when cars are just getting going. Overall an over engineered, dangerous and expensive solution</li> </ol>	13/02/2023
WWTC-20	Objection	Noise and vibration.	<p>We already can hear the car noises in our house, however this speed cushion (or any nearby) will make this significantly worse especially with the vibration of vehicles going over the cushion and also the revving up after passing it</p> <p>As a result this will significantly affect our enjoyment of our house [REMOVED] and will significantly affect our mental health</p> <p>This will also result in the devaluation of our house</p>	13/02/2023
WWTC-21	Objection	No Speed Issues on Walton Way - damage to cars	<p>With regard to the proposed speed cushions on Walton Way I'd like to object to this proposal and view it as another shameless waste of taxpayers money.</p> <p>I have lived in the vicinity for over 5 years now and use Walton Way daily. I've never witnessed any excessive speed on that road and in fact drivers respect the 30mph limit on that road.</p> <p>Would you like to support these proposals with proof of accident and speed camera information please to justify this proposal?</p> <p>My objection is that these speed cushions damage suspension and geometry</p>	17/02/2023



Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			<p>components on a car. I've had my tracking reset twice in the past 12 months and worn out a set of tyres prematurely because of speed cushions at a considerable cost.</p> <p>Yes I'm all for these traffic calming devices near schools and in places where traffic doesn't respect the limit but Walton Way is not one of them. All it will do is create 'rat runs' in the side streets to avoid these 'cushions' creating issues elsewhere, I for one will find an alternative route which many will, and of course this will create problems elsewhere.</p> <p>Your thoughts and evidence (to the contrary) would be welcome.</p>	
WWTC-22	Objection	Road is not unsafe, no accidents. Damage to cars, issues with future maintained cost for LCC.	<p>I have lived in the village on the Walton Way estate for over 10 years, and based on my own observations this road is not unsafe, and there have been no accidents or pedestrians injured that I am aware of. Does your accident safety data support this proposal? In addition this is a regular location for the police speed vans which is a deterrent in itself. There are wide footpaths and grass verges on both sides of Walton Way which make it very safe for pedestrians to walk along and plenty of visibility to cross. Pedestrians predominantly cross this road at the main junctions on either end of Walton Way where facilities are provided, and at the junction with West Cross Lane. Therefore I could see some benefit in installing the junction table but not the speed cushions. Installing Speed cushions along Walton Way will be damaging to the environment, they will create noise pollution for residents with vehicles slowing down and speeding up between the cushions. The residents along the main road will also suffer due to the noise of the cars travelling over the cushions day and night. My car has suffered suspension damage in recent years due to travelling over speed cushions in multiple locations on my daily commute and I cannot be the only one suffering these extra costs due to unnecessary traffic calming schemes such as this one. During a cost of living crisis this is unwelcome news. These speed cushions will be unsightly and not in keeping with the area. I would urge Leicestershire County Council to consider the long term maintenance of this scheme. I understand that the money to fund this scheme was provided by the</p>	18/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			builders of the Jelson estate, yet Leicestershire County Council will be liable for any future maintenance with ever decreasing budgets. The only thing that I feel would benefit the area is the junction table at the junction of Walton Way and West Cross lane. This would make it easier for cars to pull out of the junction and also pedestrians cross the road at this point. However please can the speed cushions be removed from the proposal.	
WWTC-23	Objection	Motorcycles are the main cause of the speeding issues and this scheme wont impact them. concerned about damage to cars.	<p>I oppose the installation of speed bumps and would suggest illuminated speeding signs indicating the speeding of traffic as used in Swithland village. As I live in this area I know that these signs have been very effective in calming traffic particularly as there is an infant school on the main road where illuminated speeding signs have been erected and do adversely affect a vehicles suspension.</p> <p>Speed bumps (cushions), are ineffective to motor cyclists and some car drivers and in some cases can reduce the effectiveness of a vehicles suspension and over time change the steering geometry making the vehicle unsafe to travel on motorways. I have first-hand experience of this phenomena having previously having to replace 3 sets of broken suspension springs front and rear shock absorbers and a regular adjustments made to correct the steering geometry on my car and I slowed to 20MPH when negotiating these speed cushions. I asked the mechanic if other vehicles were affected in the same way my car was he stated it was common in this area due to the speed cushions deployed in Rothley village.</p> <p>He suggested the speed you would have to travel at to avoid this damage is 5-8 MPH.</p> <p>In the years I have lived in Mountsorrel 20 + years on Walton Way I can inform you most of the time it is motor cyclists who speed down Walton Way and the proposed method will not slow motorcyclists down as they will avoid the cushions and travel between them.</p> <p>This is why I oppose the installation of speed cushions and strongly suggest illuminated speeding indication as a much better alternative.</p>	10/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-24	Objection	<p>Too many speed cushions, seven in the course of a road a mile long!! Impediment to emergency vehicles?</p> <p>More pollution created due to cars slowing down then speeding up. Damage done to suspensions on cars.</p> <p>Discriminates against small vehicles. (4x4 just speed over them!)</p>	<p>Too many speed cushions, seven in the course of a road a mile long!! Impediment to emergency vehicles?</p> <p>More pollution created due to cars slowing down then speeding up. Damage done to suspensions on cars.</p> <p>Discriminates against small vehicles. (4x4 just speed over them!)</p> <p>This proposal is a total waste of money, all for what reason? Aimed at reducing vehicle speeds in the area? Getting us to use a bus? We would and did use the small bus, which had been subsidised in the past on two occasions. Ironically running like clockwork during Covid lockdowns, then stopped running when everyone's back to work!! We NEED our little cars thank you, people in their large 4x4 vehicles do not slow down for speed cushions, but just ride roughshod over them.</p> <p>If Walton Way was built as a 'through route', then let it be just that. There are hardly any houses built along the road whose driveways open onto the road precisely for that reason. There are very few people who actually park along the road, parking is provided for those with no drive.</p> <p>We live [REMOVED], and have lived here for twenty-six years and found that there is no speeding problem. In that time ONE vehicle at SLOW speed knocked over a road sign! So where is the need for speed cushions? Has anyone actually requested them?</p> <p>We hope to get your support for our objections,</p>	07/02/2023
WWTC-25	Objection	<p>Worried about noise impact of cars slowing down and speeding up. Damage to cars. Happy with the speed tables</p>	<p>Our rear garden wall is immediately alongside Walton Way and the noise is bad as it is and will be worse when the proposed increased housing is built in Mountsorrel and Rothley. It's bad enough as it is, particularly with the number of motor cyclists which use Walton Way. When the traffic has to keep slowing down and speeding up it will create much more noise as gears are changed. There is also potential risk of damage to car springs (this has happened to us in the past). What is the reason for the proposals? If it is to make it easier for pedestrians to cross Walton Way why can't we have one or two pedestrian crossings? We have no objection to the raised table at the junction of Walton Way and West Cross Lane. Why not just do this and reassess the situation</p>	22/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			afterwards.If these proposals go ahead we will be looking for a reduction in Council tax!	
WWTC-26	Objection	Does not believe there is an issue with speeding. Scheme will create more pollution/damage to tyres	I OBJECT to this proposal for cushion humps on Walton Way as I cannot see the reason, I walk that road every day with my dog and drive on it also from around 10.30am to 3.30/4.00pm there is very little traffic about and those I do see I would not class as speeding, I have no doubt there are some speeders, it is a long road and may be open to speeding but I have not seen this , also I have not seen any traffic volume measures being taken or speed cameras on this road to suggest that the volume of traffic needed to be controlled or checked by speed humps. I have seen one speed camara on this road but that was a long time ago so I don't know where your information comes from. These measures will only create more pollution, damage to tyres and suspension.	21/02/2023
WWTC-27	Objection	Wants alternatives to Speed Cushions - wants speed camera instead and feels as though vans and larger vehicles will be able to speed regardless of scheme.	<p>This is my objection to the only solution being offered of cushioning and raised platforms. Why is this the only option.</p> <p>Allen Moss Road in Loughborough is a far busier road than Walton Way, where signage appears to work. Have you any data to the contrary?</p> <p>Secondly for me, average speed cameras is a far better solution, however, I don't know the costings for this, could you tell me please?</p> <p>I would suggest also, the residents whose only artery is Walton Way are not the speeding offenders. The solution and the only solution being offered, will not stop the delivery vans and twelve wheeler lorries from continuing to speed through our neighbourhood. This solution will breakdown overtime and become a problem itself.</p>	24/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-28	Objection	Funds could be spent on other highways improvements. Not much of a speeding issue, will impact local residents	Scanned Document	21/02/2023
WWTC-29	Objection	Not a speeding issues, damage to vehicles. Spend the money on highway maintenance instead	Scanned Document	18/02/2023
WWTC-30	Objection	Removing refuge island is unsafe. Concerned around "sight line"(visibility splay) when crossing at the junction with proposed raised table. Damage to vehicles, hazard to cyclist and pedestrians. Would prefer speed cameras	Raised Table use the pedestrian crossing island at the junction of West Cross Lane and Walton Way in order to watch out for cars coming up Walton Way or along West Cross Lane. I note that this crossing island is to be removed because of the proposed raised table. However, the removal of the crossing point would make it dangerous for any pedestrian crossing the junction due to the sight-line being interrupted. Has anyone physically tried to walk over this crossing to check the sight-line?Speed CushionsApart from the high cost where money would be better spent in repairing the roads in this area, inserting bumps and tables will increase the damage to vehicles. To highlight the damage issue, I drive a car that is low to the ground. On taking it in for a service to my local garage recently, the mechanic reported that all four tyres were wearing just on the inside and asked whether I happen to use Hallfields Lane in Rothley (which I did twice a day to get to my place of work in East Goscote) as they had seen many customers with the same type of damage to their tyres. I did put in a claim to the Council at the time but unfortunately it was rejected because 'the road humps were to the correct specification' which did little to curb my frustration at having to spend nearly £400 on new tyres. I now use Walton Way and Bier Way	28/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			<p>to get to the A6 so I am more than concerned that I will suffer from exactly the same problem if the speed bumps are installed. The raised table and speed cushions will cause vehicles to slow down as they approach and then accelerate afterwards which increases fuel usage and air pollution as well as noise and vibration caused through braking. They will also cause a problem for emergency ambulances. I would like to know what evidence there is to suggest this road is dangerous. I did put in a Freedom of Information request to Leicestershire County Council to get the facts but unfortunately have yet to receive a response. Speed cushions would add to the hazard for pedestrians and cyclists. They are a trip hazard for pedestrians and are unlikely to be popular with cyclists who may decide to ride up and down the pavements instead. It would make it extra hard and would limit the crossing points for elderly, disabled or pushchair users to cross the road. When cars or larger vehicles drive over the road humps at night, it would cause the headlights to blind the oncoming driver, particularly when the oncoming driver is proceeding up the hill. More traffic will divert away from Walton Way to routes where there are no restrictions causing an increase in traffic flow in quieter areas. If an average speed check system, now commonly used in many parts of the UK, could be introduced at a relatively low cost such that travel times end-to-end in Walton Way could be measured, this would be a much simpler method of controlling vehicle speeds and would eliminate the need for the complex and unsightly measures. If you want to stop the minority driving at dangerous speed, put a speed camera in. Cameras have the obvious advantage that if they work, they raise money to pay for themselves. Cameras also have the advantage of creating no discomfort, inconvenience or noise to their neighbours or motorists who are already obeying the speed limit. Or, have speed-sensitive reminders and ensure that signage is maintained. Alternatively, some form of chicane might provide a better answer.</p>	
WWTC-31	Objection	No major accidents therefore no need for traffic calming. Worried about traffic being	We would like to register our objections to the proposed traffic calming measures to Walton Way, Mountsorrel. We do not believe there has been any major traffic accidents or casualties on Walton Way and that there are no accident black spots on the road. We are therefore not sure what the reasoning is for the proposed traffic calming measures. The proposed speed cushions and raised	27/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
		<p>pushed to other areas of the village. No need for physical traffic calming use alternatives</p>	<p>table are likely to deter people from using Walton Way and will therefore likely increase traffic on the residential streets in the village that were not specifically design to cater for the traffic like Walton Way is. Walton Way is designed to take traffic away from the villages and is the main feeder road to the newer housing estates built on the back of Mountsorrel where we live. There are only a few houses with driveways directly on to Walton Way and there are no schools or public amenities on the road. The purpose of Walton Way is to get traffic to and from the newer estates without going through the village. We walk our dog along Walton Way daily, as there are grass verges between the road and the pavement and we feel very safe from the traffic. It is our observation that when walking or driving along the road the traffic seems to travel at a sensible speed and is always free flowing, even at peak times. The proposed number of speed cushions is likely to reduce the speed well below the current 30 mph speed limit and is likely to cause more noise and pollution. Vehicles will have to brake and accelerate and change gears multiple times building up traffic. The proposal does not contain any evidence or reason why the traffic calming measures are needed or any impact assessment as what the affect will be on Walton Way or the surrounding streets. So what is the justification on spending the scarce taxpayer's money on this scheme? If the main reason if to keep traffic within the speed limit, then use other cost-effective measures to make drivers aware of the speed limit. For instance there is currently no 30 mph signs on the road, so why not put up some 30 mph signs along the side of the road or on the surface of the road, or even install some vehicle activated speed signs. If physical restriction is required, then perhaps two raised tables, one at the junction with Castle Road and one at the junction with Carisbrook Road, would be more suitable, thereby breaking the road into the three short sections. The grounds of our objection is that Walton Way is designed to take traffic away from residential streets and that no grounds have been provided as to why the proposed speed cushions and raised table are required.</p>	

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-32	Objection	No issues with speed. Use money for highway maintenance	<p>I really must object to the plans for speed cushions and a raised table along Walton Way. Having lived just off this road for many years and using it on a daily basis (both walking and driving) it is extremely rarely that I encounter vehicles going at dangerous speeds.</p> <p>With the vast amounts of potholes and other uneven road surfaces in the surrounding area/villages causing untold damage to people's vehicles and danger to those on two wheels I would have thought that there were better things that public money could be spent on other than traffic calming measures on a road that in my opinion does not need them.</p>	08/02/2023
WWTC-33	Objection	Objection to position of one speed cushion as they are in the process of drawing up plans to situate a house at number [REMOVED] Walton Way - worried about blocking entrance	<p>It looks from the sketch attached to your letter that this one, along with the speed cushions, also includes block paving surrounding it. In my experience these can be very noisy when vehicles traverse over them and with the very close proximity of my front bedroom windows this will prove to be a deterrent to our peace and quiet not to mention greatly disturbing our sleep. This is on top of the vehicles slowing down and speeding up and the extra noise this will create. My neighbour, [REMOVED] from [REMOVED] Walton Way would also like to raise her objections for the same reason as above.</p> <p>In addition to this you will notice that this speed cushion is set between [REMOVED] and [REMOVED] Walton Way and at present there is no number [REMOVED], although Charnwood Borough Council obviously expect number [REMOVED] to appear eventually hence the allocation of the numbering when [REMOVED] &amp; [REMOVED] were built.</p> <p>We are at present drawing up plans to situate a house between [REMOVED] and [REMOVED] and your proposal could put this in jeopardy as the proposed speed cushion would be situated where the entrance to this property will hopefully be along with the noise for the new dwelling.</p>	06/02/2023
WWTC-34	Objection	Tax payers money and damage to cars	<p>Firstly is the cost of doing this which will come out of our pockets and we are stretched with everything already. Another reason is the damage the bumps do to cars no one realises even though your speed is like 5 which again adds to more cost we have to pay for</p>	01/03/2023



Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-35	Objection	Not installed in correct location. Vehicles speeding up and slowing down between speed cushions	I believe they will be counter productive as many drivers tend to try and avoid speed bumps by steering around them, and with more and more people parking vehicles on Walton Way especially on the long bend, this could create potential accidents. Also, many drivers accelerate between them which defeats the purpose of installing them. In addition, as I reside on Barnard Way, I will have to go over these every day causing unnecessary wear and tear to my car. I would suggest a speed indicator sign, such as the one in Swithland near the school, which would be more economical to install and less inconvenient to residents along Walton Way and less damaging to peoples vehicles.	01/03/2023
Parish Council	Objection	Prefers different location/usage	On behalf of the Parish Council I would to express the view that our preference would be for the money allocated for traffic calming measures on Walton Way, to be allocated instead to installing a pelican crossing outside the primary school on Rothley Rd. We believe this is a much higher priority and the Parish Council has made many representations to this effect over recent years. Our preference for Walton Way would be the installation of VAS, which has been supported by our County Councillor and are ready to go. We are also concerned that the current proposals for Walton Way include the removal of the traffic island on Cross Lane. We would request that this is retained as it helps people cross the road. We strongly believe that the pedestrian crossing will be a better safety measure and better value for money than the traffic calming. Alternatively if the County Council intends to go ahead with this, the number of speed cushions could be reduced by half and the resultant saving be allocated to providing a pedestrian crossing. We do hope that these proposals can be reconsidered in the light of our request and that of Cllr Leon Hadji-Nikolaou. We would be happy to arrange a site meeting and discuss these options in detail.	22/03/2023
WWTC-37	Mixed	Overall support of speed cushions, worried about removing crossing island	I'm hoping that I have miss read the information you have sent me. I am very happy with the traffic calming measures but I am against the alterations as shown in "View Point B: Junction Table." 1. The removal of the traffic island This is used as a pedestrian refuge by, mothers, elderly, school children, dog walkers, etc..	04/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			<p>2. Existing crossing point removed 3. The removal of existing footway This is used by mothers, elderly, school children, dog walkers, etc..</p> <p>This additional unnecessary work will no doubt cost a considerable sum of Council tax payers money and could easily be saved by not doing it. From a "Risk Assessment Perspective " you are adding danger not removing it.</p>	
WWTC-38	Support	Support of the scheme	<p>I fully support the proposal to install these calming measures in the form of traffic cushions and a raised tabletop and have in the past approached several councillor's regarding the issue of speeding on Walton Way.</p> <p>I have lived at three locations served by Walton Way over the last twenty plus years, Montsoreau way, Rosslyn Avenue and now Meadow Road, and during this period, the number of properties that this stretch of road serves has vastly increased, resulting in a large increase in traffic and ultimately the speed of that traffic.</p> <p>Walton Way is a long and wide road with very little parking taking place on it which allows a free flow of traffic building in speed from either end.</p> <p>Walton Way now also provides direct access to the larger distributor road of the A6 and A46 now the link across has been completed by William Davis.</p> <p>The issue of speeding has become worse, I notice this trying to pull out of Meadow Road, I regularly have drivers driving very close to me when I travel at 30mph towards my junction, to the point I often carry on to Halstead Road at 30mph just to prove a point, My children have to cross Walton Way and I walk my dog along the road every night, and some of the speeding is ridiculous, I would estimate 70% of the traffic is travelling on or over 40mph.</p> <p>It is also clear to see when the speed camera van is parked next to our junction because the speed of traffic obviously reduces to the speed limit and becomes acceptable, but as soon as it leaves, the traffic speeds return to normal.</p> <p>So, in summary, I fully support the proposals, the road serves too many houses, is very long, is interrupted in its flow and is too wide.</p>	06/02/2023

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
WWTC-39	Support	Feels there is a real issue with speeding along Walton way	Scanned Document	05/02/2023
WWTC-40	Support	Happy at prospect of traffic calming	absolutely delighted about the proposed traffic calming on Walton Way. She wondered if there were any plans to put speed cushions on West Cross Lane as traffic travels far in excess of 30 mph. I explained that we could not extend the proposals that had been advertised but said that I would pass her message on to you in case there were any plans in the future to do traffic calming on West Cross Lane.	10/02/2023
WWTC-41	Support	Supports scheme but is worried about HGVs and large vehicles being able to travel over the speed cushions	<p>Unfortunately, we have noticed that the road has become an increasingly high traffic area, busy during peak rush hour periods and that HGV vehicles, linked to the building projects, often travel down Walton Way. Many of these vehicles travel at excessive speeds creating excess noise pollution, especially the HGVs and vans, that we as residents have to endure daily.</p> <p>Whilst I am in support of the general principle of slowing vehicles down on Walton Way, I am concerned that the proposals may not realise this aim. I am wondering whether the proposed speed cushions are the same as the ones found on Halstead Road? If so, I would raise concern with the proposal because I believe these speed cushions are ineffective as a traffic calming measure.</p>	17/02/2023
WWTC-42	Support	Supports scheme but would prefer only the speed table	The speed reduction proposal has the merit that it will definitely reduce average speed and will reduce traffic volume by making the road less attractive to through traffic. However I am concerned that the noise and pollution levels will markedly increase due to the behaviour of motorists trying to negotiate the speed cushions. The vehicles will inevitably speed up and slow down causing more vehicle emissions and associated road noise. This is particularly a problem with cold diesel engines during the morning peak period less so for the return peak in the evening. A rolling vehicle will be in in a higher gear and the engine will be rotating at a lower RPM therefore producing less exhaust emissions. I am in favour of the speed table at the junction with West Cross lane as a lot of	28.02/23

Name	Objection/ Support / Neutral	Reason for Comment	Content	Date received
			<p>people cross Walton way at that junction to walk out into the countryside along West Cross lane. However I want to register my objection to the rest of the scheme as I think it is a sledgehammer to crack a nut and looks very expensive to install. I would be happier with a number of illuminated speed notification signs as fitted on other roads nearby and installed at strategic locations along Walton way. This will give drivers a nudge that they are speeding and will allow the traffic to keep rolling. Maybe other types of traffic calming could be considered such as width restrictions or chicanes which will again allow the traffic to keep rolling. Additional speed limit signage would be helpful as there is very little of this along the length of the road, in addition it would be good to see some regular police speed camera vans during the peak periods.</p>	
WWTC-43	Support	Supports scheme	<p>As a resident of Walton Way (<i>REMOVED</i>) my wife and I fully and whole heartedly support this proposal. Since the opening of Bier Way, the volume of traffic using Walton Way has increased incredibly. In our opinion most vehicles are also driving above the 30 mph speed limit and in a lot of cases well above that too. The increase in traffic has also generated much more road traffic noise and I guess increased emissions too. In our opinion it is only a matter of time before there is a serious traffic incident.</p> <p>Ideally, we would have liked to see greater measures with raised tables where the cushions are to be located, 30 mph speed limit warning signs and at least 1 no speed camera.</p>	09/02/2023
WWTC-44	Support	worried about speeds along Walton Way	<p>I think these changes are long over due as Walton Way has become like a rat run for people making short cuts.</p> <p>I live on Rockingham Road and use Walton Way regularly and the speed that some people are driving at is very excessive.</p> <p>With this in mind I think that speed humps is a very good idea and for those that wont to speed they will soon get damage to their vehicles.</p>	01/03/2023